

# ***ENERGY TRANSITIONS – LESSONS FROM THE GREAT DEPRESSION***

Christopher A. Kennedy  
Institute for Integrated Energy Systems,  
University of Victoria, Canada  
+1 250 472 4463 [ckenned@uvic.ca](mailto:ckenned@uvic.ca)

## **Overview**

Recent scholarship has demonstrated that the Great Depression of the 1930's can be understood as a critical period in the transition from coal to oil-based transportation in the United States (Kennedy, 2023). The Depression was part of socio-technological transition from a coal/railroad regime to a regime of hydrocarbons, motor vehicles and electricity. Oil surpassed coal in 1931 – early in the Depression – as the largest energy source for ground transportation in the US. Declining investment in railroads, however, was a major constraint on the US economy, as the main energy delivery system – coal carried by railcars – was weakened.

This paper asks what lessons from the Great Depression might apply to the current energy transition from fossil fuels to renewables.

## **Methods**

The methods employed in the study of the Great Depression are relatively new, having previously been used to analyse the modern US economy (Kennedy, 2022) and Great Britain during the Industrial Revolution (Kennedy 2020). They entail mapping of energy use to capital stocks and investments in the economy in order to identify the physical processes that underlie growth and change. In particular, these are: i) energy used to build capital assets in the economy; ii) energy required to use capital assets; and iii) physical capital assets and energy itself used in the production, transformation and distribution of energy. At a finer scale, novel energy Sankey diagrams centred around capital stocks help to elucidate capital-energy relationships.

## **Results**

Major energy transitions do not necessarily involve economic depressions. The Great Depression was particularly long and hard due to the hegemonic power that railroads held in the US economy; they accounted for close to a quarter of the non-residential capital stock – and were responsible for delivering about 70% to 75% of the energy supply to the economy. Issues with regulation of railroads were also a factor, in addition to competition from hydrocarbons.

Current energy systems are more diverse than those of the early 1930s, which tentatively should reduce the potential for deep recession in the transition to renewables. Perhaps, if anything, there is possibly a risk of moving towards an energy regime that is more hegemonic – with electrical power grids becoming increasingly dominant. This need not be the case, however.

## **Conclusions**

This paper demonstrates new methods for understanding how energy transitions can impact the economy at a deep, long-term structural level. The conclusions are made tentatively, as further analysis of other nations during the Great Depression, and the revival of US railroads during WWII is desirable. More broadly, the work demonstrates that better ways of bridging from transition theory to macroeconomics are needed, especially in the context of transitioning from fossil fuels to renewable energy.

## **References**

- Kennedy, C. (2020) “Energy and capital” *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 24(5), 1047-1058.
- Kennedy, C. (2022) “Capital, energy and carbon in the United States economy” *Applied Energy*, 314, 118914.
- Kennedy, C. (2023) “Biophysical Economic Interpretation of the Great Depression: A Critical Period of an Energy Transition” under review.